STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICY (INDUSTRY AND EMPLOYMENT) 2021	

SCHEDULE 5 ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

1 Character of the Area

•	Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the
	area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?

Requirements

Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?

Complies? Yes

The subject site is positioned along Horatio Road (Castlereagh Highway), which is the main road through Mudgee. The area is zoned for the purposes of tourist associated uses. In this regard, as Hungry Jacks is a trusted and recognizable brand, the signage assists in this delivery. The SP3 zoning also contains a MacDonalds restaurant, to which the proposed signage is considered consistent with this established theme. The proposed signage is therefore considered to be

compatible with the locality, both in terms of the existing and future character of the area.

2 Special Areas

Requirements

Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?

Requirements

Complies?

Yes

The subject site is located within a heritage conservation area and as such, the application is supported by a Statement of Heritage Impact (Appendix K). The proposed development and associated signage make use of a long unused site on a main thoroughfare in Mudgee. Presently the site does not contribute to the heritage of the town, nor to the Conservation Area to which it is located. The significance of the nearest listed heritage item, the hotel, will not be adversely affected by the new development.

3 Views and Vistas

•	Does the proposal	obscure c	or compromise	important views?
	2000 11.10 p. opood.	0.0000.00		

- Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?
- Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?

Complies?

Yes

The proposed signage does not obscure or compromise important views, dominate the skyline, or reduce the quality of vistas. The signage does not impact the viewing rights of other advertisers.

4 Streetscape, Setting or Landscape				
Requirements	Complies?			
	Yes			
• Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the	In terms of scale, proportion, and form, the proposed signage is appropriate for the			
streetscape, setting or landscape?	emerging urban streetscape and tourist desired character in which it is located in.			
 Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape? 	The nature of the signage is comparable to other signage within the area and similar take away food and drink premises.			
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing				
advertising?Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	The proposed signage will not result in cluttering nor will it screen unsightliness.			
 Does the proposal screen unsignaliness? Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in 	The pylon signage is higher than that of the proposed structure, but this is			
the area or locality?	contextual to the nature of the signage and does not result in any visual intrusions			
Does the proposal require ongoing vegetation management?	to neighbouring properties. The proposed signage does not require ongoing			
	vegetation management.			
5 Site and Building				
Requirements	Complies?			
Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other	Yes			
characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage	The signage has been designed to complement the scale, proportion, and			
is to be located?	characteristics of the proposed structure, and is compatible and consistent with			
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	the scale, proportion, and other characteristics of nearby signage. The proposed			
Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site on building and bath?	signage does not adversely impact any visual features of the site and is well			
site or building, or both?	integrated into the overall aesthetic of the development.			
6 Associated Devices and Logos with Advertisements and Advertising Structures				
Requirements	Complies?			
	Yes			
	The Hungry Jack's logo and corporate colour scheme have been utilised as an			
	integral part of the proposed signs, linking the signage back to the site's use as a			
Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed?	Hungry Jacks restaurant.			
	The pylon sign and wall signs will include internal lighting devices, to illuminate			
	these signs. All signage proposed to be illuminated is done so internally, hence the			
	proposed light devices are well integrated into the design of the signage.			

7 Illumination					
Requirements	Complies?				
 Would illumination result in unacceptable glare? Would illumination affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft? Would illumination detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation? 	Yes The Hungry Jack's signs, including drive-thru signage are proposed to be illuminated.				
 Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary? Is the illumination subject to a curfew? 	The illuminated signage will be of a reasonable brightness, and will not result in unacceptable glare or compromise the safety of pedestrians, vehicles, or aircraft. Whilst it is not expected that illumination would detract from any residential				
	amenity, it is anticipated that standard conditions of consent will be applied to ensure the signage complies with the relevant outdoor lighting and illumination Australian Standards.				
	The illumination is not subject to a curfew.				
8 Safety					
Requirements	Complies?				
 Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians or bicyclists? Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas? 	Yes The proposed signs do not include flashing lights, animated or complex displays or any other form of detracting or dazzling displays. Therefore, the proposed signs will not reduce vehicle, cyclist or pedestrian safety for any public road. The proposed signs will not reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children by obscuring sightlines from public areas.				