

barnson.
DESIGN . PLAN . MANAGE

Site and Soil Assessment for On-site Effluent Management System

Client: Megan Spano
Site Address: 2424 Castlereagh Highway
Gulgong, NSW 2852

11 September 2023

Our Reference : 41974-ER01_A

© Barnson Pty Ltd 2023. Confidential.

barnson.com.au

List of Contents

1.0 System Overview	5
2.0 Introduction.....	6
2.1 Overview.....	6
2.2 Key References	6
2.3 Onsite Effluent Management System	6
3.0 Site and Soil Evaluation	11
3.1 Site Evaluators Details	11
3.2 Site Information.....	11
3.3 Desktop Assessment.....	12
3.4 Groundwater Review.....	13
3.5 Surface Water Review	13
3.6 Field Assessment Information	16
3.7 Soil Assessment	17
4.0 Site and Soil Limitation Assessment.....	18
5.0 System Requirements.....	20
5.1 Mid-Western Regional Council Setback Requirements.....	20
5.1.1. All Land Application Systems	20
5.1.2. Surface Spray Irrigation	20
5.1.3. Surface, Trickle & Subsurface Irrigation	20
5.2 Design Allowances – AS/NZS1547:2012 Table H1.....	20
6.0 Septic Tank Selection and Calculation.....	21
6.1 Silver Book/ NSW Health Guidelines	21
6.2 AS/NZS 1547:2012 Requirements	21
6.3 System Recommendation.....	22
7.0 Effluent Management	23
7.1 Irrigation Area Calculation.....	23
7.2 Hydraulic Loading Method	23
7.3 Nutrient Balances	23
7.4 Nitrogen Loading	24
7.5 Phosphorus Loading	25
7.6 Water Balance & Irrigation Area Size	27
8.0 Effluent management prescriptions.....	28
8.1 Effluent Treatment	28
8.2 Effluent Disposal- Irrigation.....	28
9.0 Recommendations & Conclusions	29

List of Tables

Table 1 : System Overview	5
Table 2: Details	11
Table 3: Site Particulars	11
Table 4: Desktop Assessment Details	12
Table 5: Groundwater Review	13
Table 6: Site Assessment Details	16
Table 7: Soil Assessment Details	17
Table 8: Site Limitation Assessment	18
Table 9: Soil Limitation Assessment	19
Table 10: System Selection Details	22

List of Figures

Figure 1 – Site Location Plan	7
Figure 2 – Site Location Plan	8
Figure 3 – Site Layout Plan – Supplied by Client	9
Figure 4 – Site Buffer Plan	10
Figure 5 – Groundwater Bore Locations	14
Figure 6 – Groundwater Vulnerability Map GRV_005	15

Appendices

APPENDIX A	Water and Nutrient Balances	31
APPENDIX B	Borehole Logs & Laboratory Results	34
APPENDIX C	Site Setback Requirements	40
APPENDIX D	Recommended Species List	45
APPENDIX E	Concept Design Sketches – Irrigation Systems	49
APPENDIX F	List of Plates	56



DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared solely for Megan Spano in accordance with the scope provided by the client and for the purpose(s) as outlined throughout this report.

Installation must be by a licensed plumber and Barnson will not be liable for the incorrect installation and/or construction of the system. Installation and construction of the system must hold true to the design recommendations presented in this report. Installation should be in accordance with the prescriptions within AS 1547:2012.

Unless otherwise stated in this report, Barnson has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the data retrieved from online databases and guidance documents. The recommendations for the proposed system as presented in this report are based on historical data obtained for the area. Barnson will not be liable in relation to incorrect recommendations should any information provided by the client be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed.

The accuracy of the advice provided in this report may be limited by unobserved variations in ground conditions across the site in areas between and beyond test locations and by any restrictions in the sampling and testing which was able to be carried out, as well as by the amount of data that could be collected given the project and site constraints. These factors may lead to the possibility that actual ground conditions and materials behaviour observed at the test locations may differ from those which may be encountered elsewhere on the site. If the sub-surface conditions are found to differ from those described in this report, we should be informed immediately to evaluate whether recommendations should be reviewed and amended if necessary.

Project:	Lot 101 DP755433, 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW 2852	
Client:	Megan Spano	
Project Number:	41974	
Report Reference:	41974-ER01_A	
Date:	11/09/2023	
Prepared by:	Reviewed by:	
		
Jeremy Wiatkowski AdvDip Laboratory Operations Senior Laboratory Technician	Nardus Potgieter MSc(Chem) BSc(Hons)(Env.Tech.) Senior Environmental Scientist	

1.0 SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The following table provides a summary of the information for a sustainable onsite effluent management system proposed at Lot 101 DP755433, 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW 2852. The sections of this report that follow, provide site specific details justifying the recommended system.

Table 1 : System Overview

Site Assessor	Jeremy Wiatkowski
Client	Megan Spano
Site Location	"Lot 101 DP755433", 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
No. of Bedrooms	2 Bedrooms
Water Source	Rainwater roof collection
Estimated Daily Flow (L/day)	360L/Day based on 3 people at 120L/person/day
Treatment System Recommendation	Aerated Wastewater Treatment System (AWTS)
Tank Capacity	As per section 6.3 the minimum size tank required is >3000L
Sub Soil Assessment Class	Field assessment and subsequent laboratory tests have classed the subsoil as category 6, as shown in section 3.7.
Sub Soil Recommended Hydraulic Loading mm/day (DIR/DLR)	Drip and spray systems in category 6 soils have a design loading rate of 2mm/day. (Refer to Table 7)
Recommended Effluent Application Type	Due to the presence of category 6 soil (Medium to Heavy Clays), it is recommended to disperse of AWTS secondary treated effluent onsite to irrigation fields.
Effluent Design Criteria	As per section 7.0 the minimum effluent application area was determined by calculating the requirements of Phosphorus Loading. As shown, 1 irrigation field of 192.4m² each is required to dispose of the secondary treated effluent.
Notes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It should also be noted that the AWTS requires a continuous power supply – and the system should not be switched off when not in use. 2. In the event the AWTS is powered down for more than 1-2 days, recommissioning will normally take between 2-4 weeks to establish a stable treatment process. 3. Appropriate subsurface irrigation components are to be selected. 4. AWTS's are particularly sensitive to cleaning products containing disinfectants and bleaches. They are also sensitive to herbicides, weedicides and pharmaceuticals such as antibiotics.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Overview

Barnson Pty Ltd on behalf of Megan Spano has prepared this report for submission to Mid-Western Regional Council. This report provides direction for sustainable on-site effluent management for a 2-bedroom residence, on Lot 101 DP755433, at 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW (refer **Figure 1**).

2.2 Key References

The following key references were utilised as part of this assessment:

- AS/NZS 1547:2012. *On-site Domestic Wastewater Management*;
- NSW Government 1998. *On site Sewerage Management for Single Households* (The Silver Book/OSMSH);
- NSW Government 2000. *The Easy Septic Tank Guide*. Developed by Social Change Media for the NSW Department of Local Government;
- NSW Health, 2001. ‘Septic Tank and Collection Well Accreditation Guidelines’;
- Mid-Western Regional Council Local Environment Plan, 2012;
- Mid-Western Local Environment Plan, 2011;
- Murphy B.W. & Lawrie J.W. 1998. Soil Landscapes of the Dubbo 1:250 000 Sheet Report, DLWC.
- Sydney Catchment Management Authority, 2019. *Designing and Installing On-Site Wastewater Systems*;

2.3 Onsite Effluent Management System

The proposed onsite effluent management system for this site consists of a AWTS and areas for dispersion of treated effluent onsite. **Figure 1 & 2** illustrates the site location. **Figure 3** illustrates the proposed site layout plan supplied by client. **Figure 4** illustrates the site buffer plan.



Figure 1 – Site Location Plan




Figure 2 – Site Location Plan



CONTACT DETAILS

Megan & Charlie Spano
 1362 - A02
 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong
 NSW 2880



MEGAN & CHARLIE SPANO

**PROPOSED RESIDENCE AT
 2424 CASTLEREAGH
 HIGHWAY, GULGONG**

SITE PLAN



1362 - A02 B
 02 - 06



CASTLEREAGH HIGHWAY

01 | SITE LAYOUT
 SCALE 1:400 (A3)




Figure 3 – Site Layout Plan – Supplied by Client

02 | ROOF LAYOUT
 SCALE 1:200 (A3)



PRELIMINARY DRAWINGS




Figure 4 – Site Buffer Plan

CASTLEREAGH HIGHWAY

3.0 SITE AND SOIL EVALUATION

3.1 Site Evaluators Details

The following table provides an overview of the evaluator's particulars.

Table 2: Details

Name / Role	Jeremy Wiatkowski
Role/ Qualifications	Senior Geotechnical Technician
Company	Barnson Pty Ltd
Company Address	1/36 Darling Street Dubbo NSW 2830
Contact Details	1300 BARNSON
Date of Assessment	14/7/2023

3.2 Site Information

The following table provides an overview of the site information.

Table 3: Site Particulars

Address/Locality	2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW Lot 101 DP755433
Local Government Area	Mid-Western Regional Council
Owner	Megan Spano
Developer/Builder	Owner/Builder
Block Configuration	Lot 100 – 1416m ² (20.1x70.4m) Lot 101 – 1416m ² (20.1x70.4m)
Intended Water Supply	Rainwater roof collection
Intended Power Supply	Supplied

3.3 Desktop Assessment

The following information was obtained via desktop review of the site.

Table 4: Desktop Assessment Details

Climate Overview¹	Annual Average Rainfall for Gulgong is 653mm. Warm summers with large evaporative deficit, cool winters with small evaporative deficit. The mean summer monthly rainfall (January) is 70.4mm. The mean winter rainfall (July) is 49mm.	
Soil Landscape Reference²	Area has been mapped within the "Gulgong" Landscape Group. Non-calcic Brown Soils and Red Podzolic Soils are common in the area.	
	Surface Conditions	Hardsetting
	Drainage	Moderate
	Available water holding capability	Moderate to high
	Water table depth	>90cm
	Depth to bedrock	90 to >120 cm
	Flood hazard	None
	Expected Nutrient deficiencies	Nitrogen, Phosphorus
	Soil Salinity	Low
	Erosion Hazard	Low to moderate
Underlying Geology³	<i>"Shale, slate and minor volcanic-rich sandstone".</i>	
Groundwater Review	No water bores were found within 500m of the proposed site, as illustrated in Figure 5 . The area is mapped as being groundwater vulnerable as per the Mid-Western Regional Council LEP map GRV_005 Figure 6 .	

¹ Bureau of Meteorology online Climate Data website

² NSW Soil and Land Information System

³Dubbo 1:250000

3.4 Groundwater Review

No groundwater information was available, and no water bores were identified as occurring within the general area of the allotment. Furthermore, no water was encountered onsite during the investigation. The proposed on-site wastewater management system is therefore not expected to pose a potential risk for impact to groundwater resources.

Table 5: Groundwater Review

Groundwater Bore Reference	Total Depth (m)	Water Bearing Zones (m)	Standing Water Level (m)	Yield (L/s)	Salinity Yield
N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a

3.5 Surface Water Review

The proposed site drains towards the north. Three Mile Creek is located approximately 70m to the north of the proposed house location with a farm dam located approximately 130m to the south-east




Figure 5 – Groundwater Bore Locations




Figure 6 – Groundwater Vulnerability Map GRV_005

3.6 Field Assessment Information

A field inspection was conducted on 14/7/2023. The following table provides detail on the site assessment as well as the field and laboratory results.

Table 6: Site Assessment Details

Water Balance Attached	See <i>Appendix A</i>	
Exposure	Good exposure.	
Slope	The site slopes slightly to the north	
Run-On	None	
Seepage	None	
Erosion Potential	Low due to vegetation cover.	
Site Drainage	The proposed site drains towards the north. Three Mile Creek is located approximately 70m to the north of the proposed house location with a farm dam located approximately 130m to the south-east	
Fill	None encountered	
Surface rock/Outcrops	None encountered	
Is there sufficient land area for:	Application system, including buffers	Yes
	Reserve application system	Yes

3.7 Soil Assessment

A soil sample was collected and returned to Barnson Pty Ltd for analysis on 14/7/2023. The sample was collected at a depth of 800mm during the site inspection as per AS1289.1.2.1.6.5.3. Laboratory report with results are provided at Appendix B. Field assessment parameters were also obtained. The following table provides detail on both field and laboratory assessment results.

Table 7: Soil Assessment Details

Depth to bedrock or hardpan via field assessment		>1.5m
Depth to high soil water table via field assessment		>1.5m
Soil Analysis	pH – subsoil CaCl ₂ (lab) subsoil	7
	Emerson Test Result –subsoils (Lab)	5
	Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, Plasticity Index, Linear Shrinkage. (%)	LL = 62 PL = 17 PI = 45 – High Plasticity LS = 15 – Highly Reactive See Borelog in Appendix B
	Estimated Soil Category –topsoil, subsoil A, subsoil B,	3,4,6
	Structure massive, weak, high, moderate, strong (Field)	Strongly Structured
	Soil Profile description	See Borelog in Appendix B
	Sub soil Permeability (from table 5.2 of AS 1547:2012)	0.06-0.5(k _{sat}) (m/d) 2.5-20.8 (mm/hr) (Infiltration is Slow)
	Recommended Hydraulic Loading for disposal system (from Table 5.2 of AS 1547:2012)	2mm per day (For effluent disposal drip/spray)

4.0 SITE AND SOIL LIMITATION ASSESSMENT

The following two limitation tables are a standardised guide to the site and soil characteristics which may limit the suitability of the site for effluent disposal and which require attention through specific management practises. The tables have been reproduced from the NSW Government endorsed 'On-Site Sewerage Management for Single Households' (1998), Tables 8 and 9. The highlighted categories represent site and soil conditions of the land covered in this report.

Table 8: Site Limitation Assessment

Site Feature	Relevant System	Minor Limitation	Moderate Limitation	Major Limitation	Restrictive Feature
Flood Potential	All land application systems	> 1 in 20 years		Frequent below 1 in 20 years	Transport in wastewater off site
	All treatment application systems	Components above 1 in 100 years		Components below 1 in 100 years	Transport in wastewater off site system failure
Exposure	All land application systems	High sun and wind exposure		Low sun and wind exposure	Poor evaporation transpiration
Slope %	Surface Irrigation	0-6	6-12	>12	Runoff, erosion potential
	Sub-surface irrigation	0-10	10-20	>20	Runoff, erosion potential
	Absorption	0-10	10-20	>20	Runoff, erosion potential
Landform	All systems	Hillcrests, convex side slopes and plains	Concave side slopes and foot slopes	Drainage plains and incised channels	Groundwater pollution hazard, resurfacing hazard
Run-on and upslope seepage	All land Application Areas	None-low	Moderate	High, diversion not practical	Transport of wastewater off site
Erosion potential	All land application systems	No sign of erosion potential		Indications of erosion e.g. rills, mass failure	Soil degradation and off-site impact
Site drainage	All land application systems	No visible signs of surface dampness		Visible signs of surface dampness, such as moisture-tolerant veg	Groundwater pollution hazard, resurfacing hazard
Fill	All systems	No fill	Fill present		Subsidence
Land area	All systems	Area available		Area not available	Health and pollution risk
Rock and rock outcrop	All land application systems	<10%	10-20%	>20%	Limits system performance
Geology	All land application systems	None		Major geological discontinuities, fractured or highly porous regolith	Groundwater pollution hazard

Table 9: Soil Limitation Assessment

Soil feature	Relevant system	Minor limitation	Moderate limitation	Major limitation	Restrictive feature
Depth to bedrock or hardpan (m)	Surface and sub-surface irrigation	> 1.0	0.5-1.0	< 0.5	Restricts plant growth
	Absorption	> 1.5	1.0-1.5	< 1.0	Groundwater pollution hazard
Depth to seasonal water table (m)	Surface and sub-surface irrigation	> 1.0	0.5-1.0	< 0.5	Groundwater pollution hazard
	Absorption	> 1.5	1.0-1.5	< 1.0	Groundwater pollution hazard
Permeability Category	Surface and sub-surface irrigation	2b, 3 and 4	2a, 5	1 and 6	Excessive runoff and waterlogging
	Absorption	3, 4		1, 2, 5 and 6	Percolation
Coarse fragments %	All systems	0-20	20-45	>40	Restricts plant growth, affects trench installation
Bulk density (g/cc) SL L, CL C	All land application systems	< 1.8 < 1.6 < 1.4		> 1.8 > 1.6 >1.4	restricts plant growth, indicator of permeability
pH	All land application systems	> 6.0	4.5-6.0	-	Reduces plant growth
Electrical conductivity (dS/m)	All land application systems	<4	4-8	>8	Restricts plant growth
Sodicity (ESP)	Irrigation 0-40cm; absorption 0-1.2mtr	0-5	5-10	> 10	Potential for structural degradation
CEC mequiv/100g	Irrigation systems	> 15	5-15	< 5	Nutrient leaching
P sorption kg/ha	All land application systems	> 6000	2000-6000	< 2000	Capacity to immobilise P
Modified Emerson Aggregate Test – (dispersiveness)	All land application systems	Class 3, 4	Class 2	Class 1	Potential for Structural degradation.

5.0 SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS

5.1 Mid-Western Regional Council Setback Requirements

The Mid-Western Regional Council 'On-Site Sewage Management Plan' (2008), provides recommended buffer distances. For this design, the following must be taken into consideration.

5.1.1. All Land Application Systems

- 80m to permanent surface waters (e.g. river, streams, lakes, etc.);
- 50m to domestic groundwater well on applicant's property and 200m to any groundwater well located on a neighbouring property;
- 40m to other waters (e.g. farm dams, intermittent waterways and drainage channels, etc.)

5.1.2. Surface Spray Irrigation

- 6m if area up-gradient and 3m if area down-gradient of driveways and property boundaries;
- 15m to dwellings;
- 3m to paths & walkways;
- 6m to swimming pools;

5.1.3. Surface, Trickle & Subsurface Irrigation

- 6m if area up-gradient and 3m if area down-gradient of swimming pools, property boundaries, driveways and buildings;

Other site setback requirement as per AS/NZS 1547:2012 are provided in **Appendix C**.

The prescribed buffer areas/setbacks are to be adhered to unless specified by council otherwise.

5.2 Design Allowances – AS/NZS1547:2012 Table H1

In accordance with AS/NZS1547:2012 Table H1, the recommended design flow allowance for use in Australia, using on site rainwater roof collection supply is 120L/person/day. Given the proposed residence is 2 bedrooms in total, the number of persons potentially occupying the residence assumed for the calculation of the design flow is calculated at 3.

6.0 SEPTIC TANK SELECTION AND CALCULATION

6.1 Silver Book/ NSW Health Guidelines

The 'On-Site Sewerage Management for Single Households' (1998) guideline is based on the NSW Health guideline for septic tank capacity. Therefore, the calculation is the same.

Secondary effluent treatment will be provided by a NSW Health accredited Aerated Wastewater Treatment System (AWTS). The NSW Health 'Septic Tank and Collection Well Accreditation Guidelines' (2001), set a sludge allowance of 1550L irrespective of the number of persons or which the septic tank is to be designed. It should be noted that in accordance with this guideline, a treatment system is designed for a minimum of 5 persons needs to be de-sludged approximately every 4 years.

The general formula to calculate the minimum tank capacity in litres is:

$$S + (DF \times N) = C$$

Sludge + (Daily Flow X No. of Persons) = Capacity of the tank

Residence - When DF = 120L/per person/per day and N =3, therefore DF x N =**360L**

$$1550L + 360L = 1910L$$

Table 2 in the NSW Health Guidelines provides a minimum of 2300L tank capacity.

6.2 AS/NZS 1547:2012 Requirements

A more conservative approach is outlined in AS/NZS1547:2012, Appendix J. A more conservative figure of 200L per person for all waste tanks is provided, giving a daily flow volume of 600L for the residence. Therefore, a minimum capacity tank of **3000L** is required for a residence with a design flow of <1000L. This conservative rate is to ensure that the unit has capacity to cope with peak discharge rates or for temporary or unusual overloads and includes no allowance for food waste disposal units. This tank design capacity also allows for the storage of sludge and scum at a rate of 80L/person/year. It should be noted that the higher cost of installing a larger septic tank may be offset by a reduced pump out frequency. Too frequent pump out removes microorganisms needed for degradation of wastewater solids. The longer pump out interval has beneficial implications for conservation of resources in that the volume of seepage requiring treatment and disposal can be reduced significantly.

6.3 System Recommendation

The following table provides details on the system selection.

Table 10: System Selection Details

Consideration of connection to centralised sewerage system	Distance to sewer	>2km
	Potential for future connection?	None planned
	Potential for reticulated water?	None planned
Expected Wastewater volume (litres/day)	Residence – 2-bedroom residence, potential occupancy of 3 people. Typical wastewater design flow is 120L/person per day in accordance with Table H3 of AS/NZS1547:2012 for households with full water reduction facilities, supplied by rainwater roof collection supply. Therefore, 3 people at 120L per person per day gives a total load of 360L/day	
Type of Treatment system best suited	Accredited AWTS with a minimum collection capacity of >3000L – as per NSW Health accredited system https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/environment/domesticwastewater/Pages/awts.aspx	

Water conservation measures should be adapted to the greatest extent possible in the house, particularly in relation to the high water use activities of showering, clothes washing and toilet flushing. AAA rated plumbing appliances and fittings should be used. Measures including use of front loading washing machines, low volume shower roses and dual flush toilets can reduce water usage by 30-40%. Detergents low in phosphorous and sodium should be used as much as possible. Following these measures will ensure the greatest lifespan for this effluent treatment and disposal system.

7.0 EFFLUENT MANAGEMENT

Barnson Pty Ltd has analysed the proposed on site waste management system in accordance with the NSW Government endorsed ‘Silver Book’ (1998) and AS/NZS1547:2012 On-site Domestic Wastewater Management’, with additional advice sought from the Sydney Catchment Management Authority ‘Designing and installing On-site Wastewater Systems’ 2019 guideline. For this site, given the climate and soil constraints, irrigation is considered the most appropriate effluent management device.

7.1 Irrigation Area Calculation

In accordance with these documents, the irrigation area for surface and subsurface irrigation must be the largest area calculated for nutrient (Nitrogen and Phosphorous) loading or hydraulic loading. Balances assume an effluent generation rate for a 2 bedroom dwelling on rainwater roof collection of 360L/day.

7.2 Hydraulic Loading Method

Hydraulic loading is the amount of liquid applied to land over a specified time interval. The hydraulic loading rate must be such that surface ponding or run-off and excessive percolation of the treated wastewater does not occur. As per the *Silver Book* 2012 the following formula can be used to estimate the size of the irrigation area for secondary treated effluent.

$$A = Q/DIR$$

Where Q = 360L/day and the DIR = 2mm/day (as per AS 1547:2012)

Therefore

$$A = 360/2$$

$$A=180 \text{ m}^2$$

7.3 Nutrient Balances

In accordance with the ‘Silver Book’ (1998), a nutrient balance should be conducted prior to the hydraulic balance, as the calculations provide a good initial estimate of area requirements.

The Daily flow rate (Q) for this property has been assessed as 360L/day.

7.4 Nitrogen Loading

The following formula is provided:

$$A = (C \times Q) / L_n$$

Where

A = land area (m ²)	C = concentration of nutrient (mg/L)
Q = treated wastewater flow rate (L/d)	
L _n = critical loading rate of nutrient (mg/m ² /d)	

It is appropriate to assume 20% loss by, denitrification – therefore given nitrogen has a nominal value of 37mg/L, C = 37 X 0.8 = 29.6 mg/L

In this case, L_n can be determined as 240kg/ha/yr. – this figure is obtained from Appendix 1 of the Sydney Catchment Management Authority ‘*Designing and installing On-site Wastewater Systems*’ 2019 guideline, **for Lawn - Fully Managed (Clippings Removed)** for the uptake of nitrogen.

$$L_n = 240\text{kg/ha/yr.} = 24000\text{mg/m}^2/\text{year}$$

Therefore

$$A = (29.6 \times 360 \times 365) / 24000$$

$$A = 162.1\text{m}^2$$

7.5 Phosphorus Loading

In the general formula used to determine irrigation size based on Phosphorous loading is:

$$A = P_{generated} / (P_{Absorbed} + P_{Uptake})$$

The nominal Phosphorus Sorption Capacity (mg/kg) of 600mg/kg together with the nominal bulk density value of Medium to Heavy Clays being 1.3g/cm³ (nominal value as per Interpreting soil results), the Phosphorus sorption capacity was estimated to be **7800kg/ha**.

$P_{generated}$ = the amount of phosphorus generated over time, and is calculated as –

$$P_{generate} = \text{total phosphorous (TP) concentration} \times \text{volume of wastewater produced over 50 years}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= TP \times Q \text{ L/day} \times 365 \text{ days} \times 50 \text{ years, where TP= 12mg/L (as per the 'Silver book) and Q = 360L/day} \\ &= 12 \times 360 \times 365 \times 50 \\ &= 78840000 \text{mg} \\ &= \underline{78.84 \text{kg}} \end{aligned}$$

Where $P_{absorbed}$ = the amount of phosphorus that can be absorbed without leaching over 50 years. As per the 'Silver Book', this is typically 1/3 of the P sorption Value.

$$\begin{aligned} &= P_{Sorb} \times 1/3 \\ &= \underline{7800 \text{kg/ha}} \times 1/3 \\ &= 2600 \text{kg/ha} \\ &= \underline{0.26 \text{kg/m}^2} \end{aligned}$$

P_{Uptake} = the amount of P uptake by vegetation over 50 years.

For **Lawn - Fully Managed (Clippings Removed) 30 kg/ha/year** will be used (as per SCA,2019), which is equivalent to 8.21372778mg/m²/day.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } P_{\text{Uptake}} &= \underline{8.21372778} \text{ (mg/m}^2\text{/day)} \times 365 \text{ (days per year)} \times 50 \text{ (years)} \\ &= 149900.53199\text{mg/m}^2\text{/day} \\ &= \underline{0.1499\text{kg/m}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$A = P_{\text{generated}} / (P_{\text{Absorbed}} + P_{\text{Uptake}})$$

Where, $P_{\text{gen}} = 78.84$ $P_{\text{Abs}} = 0.26\text{kg/m}^2$ and $P_{\text{uptake}} = 0.1499\text{kg/m}^2$

$$A = 78.84 / (0.26 + 0.1499)$$

$$A = 192.4\text{m}^2$$

7.6 Water Balance & Irrigation Area Size

The purpose of the water balance is to assess the sensitivity of the design to the various inputs and outputs of the system. An irrigation area too small will result in saturated soils for long periods. An irrigation area too large will result in poor dispersal of effluent over the area and during dry periods will result in vegetation dying.

A water balance for the area is contained at **Appendix A**. This balance utilises the 70th percentile monthly rainfall data as provided in the *Bureau of Meteorology*. The water balance calculation utilised in this report is the **minimum area method** as per Table A6.2 of the *Silver Book*. Based on the average annual liquid loading, H (the amount of wastewater that maybe applied per year, is calculated as 856.5mm/year. Therefore, using historical data, the land area required is:

$$A = 365 \times \frac{Q}{H}$$

A = land area (m²)

Q = average treated wastewater flow rate (L/day) – 360L/day

H = average annual liquid loading (mm/yr.) –856.5mm/year

$$A = \frac{365 \times 360}{856.5}$$

$$A = 153.415\text{m}^2$$

Therefore, based on the Phosphorus Loading result, the largest minimum area required for effluent disposal, is **192.4m²**. Irrigation fields are most effective in 200m² sections. Therefore in this instance 1 field of 192.4m² is recommended.

8.0 EFFLUENT MANAGEMENT PRESCRIPTIONS

8.1 Effluent Treatment

For this property effluent will be treated by a NSW Health Accredited system capable of achieving secondary standards suitable for surface or sub-surface irrigation. The chosen tank should be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements. Records of maintenance carried out on the system should be kept by the property owners for at least 10 years.

8.2 Effluent Disposal- Irrigation

Effluent can be dispersed by subsurface drip, surface drip or surface spray irrigation. Note that subsurface drip and surface drip irrigation offer advantages in utilising effluent for landscape planting, whilst sprays are effective on grassy areas. Drip and sub-surface irrigation lines require an in-line filter and a flush valve to guard against blockages. Treated effluent must be applied to vegetated areas and not bare ground.

The sizing of the effluent irrigation area is based on the nutrient balances and water balances calculated in Section 7 of this report. **1 field of 192.4m²** totalling an area of **192.4m²** has been assessed as being suitable for irrigation purposes. **Figure 4** illustrates an indicative area suitable for siting the recommended irrigation fields. The irrigation fields are to be protected from disturbances and will not be suitable for grazing, play areas and foot traffic. The area should be fenced off and protected from vehicles, livestock, domestic animals and children. Lawn grass cover of the area is recommended and should be slashed, removed and kept well maintained when it is greater than 10cm long. Shrub species can also be used in the land application area. **Appendix D** provides a list of species suitable for use as illustrated in Appendix 7 of the *Silver Book*.

The effluent disposal area should be protected from potential run on and stormwater via an upslope diversion drain or beam. An example from the *Design and Installation of On Site Wastewater Treatment* (2019) guideline is provided at **Appendix E**.

It is also critical to ensure an appropriate pump to adequately service the demands of the effluent application area is met.

9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS & CONCLUSIONS

As per the 'On-Site Sewerage Management For Single Households' (1998) publication, stakeholders should be aware that all on site systems and components have a finite life and at some point will require replacement. Septic tanks and AWTs generally require replacement every 25 years, whereas effluent disposal systems can have an expected life between 5-15 years. The owner is encouraged to obtain a copy of the NSW Government "The Easy Septic Guide" (2000) available from - <https://www.olg.nsw.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/Easy-septic-guide.pdf>

The irrigation area shall be designed to accept the discharge from the AWTs and convey it securely and evenly to the land application area. The aim is to ensure uniform distribution of the effluent over the design area to help achieve effective aerobic/anaerobic decomposition within the soil. Typical design sketches as per AS 1547:2012 and *Design and Installation of On Site Wastewater Treatment* (2012) are provided at **Appendix E**.

As stated in AS1547-2012 section 5.5.3.4, a reserve irrigation area of similar size to the current design should be considered as part of the risk management process to be available on a site for expansion or for resting of the land application system.

Installation instructions shall be provided by the manufacturer or designer. Barnson will not be liable for the incorrect installation and/or construction of the system unless when inspected by Barnson the installation and construction of the system holds true to the design featured in this report. Installation should be in accordance with the prescriptions within AS 1547:2012.


Barnson has not verified the accuracy or completeness of this data, except otherwise stated in this report. The recommendations for the proposed system as suggested in this report are based on historical data obtained for the area. Barnson will not be liable in relation to incorrect recommendations should any information provided by the client be incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed.

The accuracy of geotechnical engineering advice provided in this report may be limited by unobserved variations in ground conditions across the site in areas between and beyond test locations and by any restrictions in the sampling and testing which was able to be carried out, as well as by the amount of data that could be collected given the project and site constraints. These factors may lead to the possibility that actual ground conditions and materials behaviour observed at the test locations may differ from those which may be encountered elsewhere on the site.

If the sub-surface conditions are found to differ from those described in this report, we should be informed immediately to evaluate whether recommendations should be reviewed and amended if necessary.


Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you have enquires regarding this report.

Yours Faithfully



Jeremy Wiatkowski
Laboratory Technician

Reviewed By



Nardus Potgieter
MSc(Chem) BSc(Hons)(Env.Tech.)
Senior Environmental Scientist



barnson.

APPENDIX A

Water and Nutrient Balances

Minimum Area Method Water Balance and Wet Weather Storage Calculations

Barnson Job No	41974 ER01_A
Location :	Gulbarga

Design Wastewater Flow	Q	l/day	360
Design Percolation Rate	R	mm/day	2

Climate Zone: 3 C As per Soil Landscapes of Dubbo 1:250 000
 Drought Box

Parameter	Symbol	Formula	Units	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
Days in Month	(D)	n/a	days	31	28	31	30	31	30	31	31	30	31	30	31	365
Precipitation (70th percentile)	(P)	n/a	mm/month	94	86	76	64	70	75	60	66	60	81	78	96	906
Evaporation	(E)	n/a	mm/month	229	178	135	104	51	46	41	58	89	130	185	229	1475
Crop Factor (as per Silver Book)	(C)	n/a	n/a	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7

Outputs	(ET)	E x C	mm/month	160.3	124.6	108.5	72.8	35.7	32.2	28.7	40.6	62.3	91	115.5	160.3	1022.5
Evapotranspiration	(BI)	(R/7)*D	mm/month	62.0	56.0	62.0	60.0	62.0	60.0	62.0	62.0	60.0	62.0	60.0	62.0	730.0
Percolation		(ET +B)	mm/month	222.3	180.6	170.5	132.8	97.7	92.2	90.7	102.6	122.3	153.0	175.5	222.3	1762.5

Inputs	(PI)	n/a	mm/month	94	86	76	64	70	75	60	66	60	81	78	96	906
Precipitation (70th percentile)	(W)	(ET + B) - P	mm/month	128.3	94.6	94.5	68.8	27.7	17.2	30.7	36.6	62.3	72.0	97.5	126.3	856.5
Possible Effluent Irrigation	(I)	H/12	mm/month	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4
Actual Effluent Production		(P + I)	mm/month	165.4	157.4	147.4	135.4	141.4	146.4	131.4	137.4	131.4	152.4	149.4	167.4	977.4

Storage	(S)	(P+I) - (ET+B)	mm/month	-56.9	-23.2	-23.1	2.6	43.7	54.2	40.7	34.8	9.1	-0.6	-26.1	-54.9	
Cumulative Storage	(M)	n/a	mm	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	46.3	100.4	141.1	175.9	185.0	184.3	158.2	103.3	

Note: H = sum of W

Irrigation Area	(L)	365 x C/H	m ²	153.4
Storage	(V)	Largest M	mm	185.0
		(V x L)/1000	m ³	28.4

Phosphorus Balance

Job Number: 41374.ER01_A

Phosphorus Sorption capacity - calculated to a depth of 1m if possible

Weighted Psorb: from lab results - as per SCA pg. 203

Soil Depth	Psorption (mg)	Psorption/soil layer
0-20	250	5000
20-40	420	8400
40-70	560	16800
70-100	580	17400

Weighted Psorb = Column C/Thickness

Weighted Psorb = 600 mg/kg

CRUSE Psorption Uptain values for soil type as per Appendix 1 of SCA pg. 207

BULK Density - use the following, unless determined by lab/field (SCM pg. 207)

Soil Type	Density
Sandy Soil	1.8
Fine sandy loam*	1.7
Intermediate	1.5
clay	1.3

*Reference: soil test results

Need to calculate the psorption of the soil in kg/ha, using the bulk density and Weighted Psorb mg/kg

Note - use top 1m of the soil

1 hectare = 10,000m²

Therefore in the top 1m of soil = 10,000m² X 1m X Bulk density

Convert tonnes to kg 13000 tonnes/hectare of soil (update with Bulk density)

Therefore the psorption is value mg/kg X kg of soil you have

Convert mg/ha to kg/ha

13000000000 mg/hectare	7800
------------------------	------

$Q \times L/day = P_{effluent} / P_{effluent} + P_{soil}$

$P_{effluent} = \text{total phosphorus (TP) concentration} \times \text{volume (V)} = \text{wastewater produced in 50 years}$

TP = 12mg/L (from silver book, unless otherwise obtained from effluent/AWTS outputs.)

$V = Q \times 365 \text{ days} \times 50 \text{ years}$, where Q is daily flow L/d

Q, L/day = 360

$P_{effluent} = 788400000 \text{ mg}$

Convert to kg 78.84 kg

$P_{soil} = \text{in soil is between } 1/4 \text{ and } 1/2 \text{ of the phosphorus sorption capacity, therefore in accordance with the silver book, use } 1/3$

is value X 1/3 = 2600 kg/ha

convert to kg/m² 0.260 kg/m²

$P_{uptake} = \text{the amount of vegetation uptake over 50 years}$

is value from SCA pg.207 X 365 days X50 years

Value (kg/ha/year) 30 (choose from SCM Appendix 1... or use 12 for unmaintained lawn)

Convert to mg/m²/day 8.21173 (using conversion factor from per year to per day)

Therefore total = amount mg/m²/day X 365 days X 50 years

Which is 149900.532

Convert to kg/m² 0.14990 kg/m²

$\text{Irrigation Area} = P_{effluent} / P_{soil} + P_{uptake}$

$P_{effluent} = 78.84$

$P_{soil} = 0.260$

$P_{uptake} = 0.1499$

Irrigation Area = 192.3 m²

barnson.

APPENDIX B

**Borehole Logs & Laboratory
Results**

CLIENT Megan Spano PROJECT NAME Site Classification
 PROJECT NUMBER 41974 PROJECT LOCATION 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
 DATE STARTED 14/7/23 COMPLETED 14/7/23 R.L. SURFACE _____ LONGITUDE ---
 DRILLING CONTRACTOR Barnson SLOPE 90° LATITUDE ---
 EQUIPMENT 1750 Drill Rig HOLE LOCATION Borehole 1
 HOLE SIZE 90mm LOGGED BY GW CHECKED BY NR

NOTES

Method	Samples	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Blows / 100mm	Additional Observations
		0.1			LOAM brown	0	TOPSOIL
				ML	Clayey SILT red: slightly moist: stiff to very stiff: low plasticity	4	ALLUVIAL
						3	
						4	
		0.5		CL	Sandy Silty CLAY: trace gravel: red: slightly moist: very stiff to hard: medium plasticity	6	ALLUVIAL
						7	
						7	ALLUVIAL
	Disturbed Sample LS = 12.5%					10	
		1.0		ML	Sandy SILT orange: slightly moist: hard: low plasticity	12	
						17	
						16	
						18	
						21	
		1.5					
		1.7		CH	Sandy Silty CLAY: brown: slightly moist: hard: high plasticity	32	ALLUVIAL
	Disturbed Sample LS = 15.0%	2.0					
		2.5					
		3.0					

BOREHOLE / TEST PIT WITH DCP 41974-G01A.GPJ GINT STD AUSTRALIA.GDT 7/8/23

Flight Auger & Tungsten Carbide (T.C) Bit

Borehole 1 terminated at 3m

CLIENT Megan Spano PROJECT NAME Septic Design
 PROJECT NUMBER 41974 PROJECT LOCATION 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
 DATE STARTED 14/7/23 COMPLETED 14/7/23 R.L. SURFACE _____ LONGITUDE ---
 DRILLING CONTRACTOR Barnson SLOPE 90° LATITUDE ---
 EQUIPMENT 1750 Drill Rig HOLE LOCATION Borehole 1
 HOLE SIZE 90mm LOGGED BY GW CHECKED BY NR

NOTES

Method	Samples	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Classification Symbol	Material Description	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Blows / 100mm	Additional Observations
		0			LOAM brown	0	TOPSOIL
		0.1		ML	Clayey SILT red, slightly moist, stiff to very stiff, low to medium plasticity	4	ALLUVIAL
		0.5		CH	Sandy Silty CLAY, trace gravel, red-brown, slightly moist, very stiff to hard, high plasticity	6	ALLUVIAL
	Disturbed Sample LS = 15.0% PI = 45%	1.0				10	
		1.5				32	

BOREHOLE / TEST PIT WITH DCP 41974-G02A.GPJ GINT STD AUSTRALIA.GDT 7/18/23
Flight Auger & Tungsten Carbide (T.C) Bit

Borehole 1 terminated at 1.5m

Material Test Report

Report Number: 41974-1
Issue Number: 1
Date Issued: 07/08/2023
Client: Megan Spano
2424 Castlereagh Highway , Gulgong NSW 2852
Contact: Megan Spano
Project Number: 41974
Project Name: Site Classification and Septic Design
Project Location: 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
Work Request: 8640
Sample Number: D23-8640A
Date Sampled: 14/07/2023
Dates Tested: 14/07/2023 - 24/07/2023
Sampling Method: AS 1289.1.2.1 6.5.3 - Power auger drilling
Sample Location: **Borehole 1, Depth: 800mm**
Material: Red Sandy Silty CLAY Trace Gravel

barnson.

Barnson Pty Ltd

Dubbo Laboratory

16 L Yarrandale Road Dubbo NSW 2830

Phone: 1300 BARNSON

Email: jeremy@barnson.com.au

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing



Approved Signatory: Jeremy Wiatkowski

Geotechnical Technician

NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 9605

Linear Shrinkage (AS1289 3.4.1)		Min	Max
Sample History	Over Dried		
Preparation Method	Dry Sieve		
Moisture Condition Determined By	AS 1289.3.1.2		
Linear Shrinkage (%)	12.5		
Cracking Crumbling Curling	Curling		

Material Test Report

Report Number: 41974-1
Issue Number: 1
Date Issued: 07/08/2023
Client: Megan Spano
2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW 2852
Contact: Megan Spano
Project Number: 41974
Project Name: Site Classification and Septic Design
Project Location: 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
Work Request: 8640
Sample Number: D23-8640B
Date Sampled: 14/07/2023
Dates Tested: 14/07/2023 - 21/07/2023
Sampling Method: AS 1289.1.2.1 6.5.3 - Power auger drilling
Sample Location: **Borehole 1, Depth: 2.0m**
Material: Brown Sandy Silty CLAY

barnson.

Barnson Pty Ltd

Dubbo Laboratory

16 L Yarrandale Road Dubbo NSW 2830

Phone: 1300 BARNSON

Email: jeremy@barnson.com.au

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing



Approved Signatory: Jeremy Wiatkowski

Geotechnical Technician

NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 9605

Linear Shrinkage (AS1289 3.4.1)		Min	Max
Sample History	Oven Dried		
Preparation Method	Dry Sieve		
Moisture Condition Determined By	AS 1289 3.1.2		
Linear Shrinkage (%)	15.0		
Cracking Crumbling Curling	Cracking & Curling		

Material Test Report

Report Number: 41974-1
Issue Number: 1
Date Issued: 07/08/2023
Client: Megan Spano
 2424 Castlereagh Highway , Gulgong NSW 2852
Contact: Megan Spano
Project Number: 41974
Project Name: Site Classification and Septic Design
Project Location: 2424 Castlereagh Highway, Gulgong NSW
Work Request: 8640
Sample Number: D23-8640C
Date Sampled: 14/07/2023
Dates Tested: 14/07/2023 - 02/08/2023
Sampling Method: AS 1289 1.2.1 6.5.3 - Power auger drilling
Sample Location: **Borehole 2, Depth: 800mm**
Material: Red-Brown Sandy Silty CLAY

barnson.

Barnson Pty Ltd

Dubbo Laboratory

16 L Yarrandale Road Dubbo NSW 2830

Phone: 1500 BARNSON

Email: jeremy@barnson.com.au

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 - Testing



Approved Signatory: Jeremy Wiatkowski

Geotechnical Technician

NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 9605

Atterberg Limit (AS1289 3.1.2 & 3.2.1 & 3.3.1)		Min	Max
Sample History	Oven Dried		
Preparation Method	Dry Sieve		
Liquid Limit (%)	62		
Plastic Limit (%)	17		
Plasticity Index (%)	45		
Linear Shrinkage (AS1289 3.4.1)		Min	Max
Moisture Condition Determined By	AS 1289.3.1.2		
Linear Shrinkage (%)	15.0		
Cracking Crumbling Curling	Cracking & Curling		
Emerson Class Number of a Soil (AS 1289 3.8.1)		Min	Max
Emerson Class	5		
Soil Description	Red-Brown Sandy Silty CLAY		
Nature of Water	Distilled		
Temperature of Water (°C)	21		

barnson.

APPENDIX C

Site Setback Requirements

TABLE R1
GUIDELINES FOR HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SETBACK DISTANCES
 (to be used in conjunction with Table R2)

Site feature	Setback distance range (m) (See Note 1)	Site constraint items of specific concern (from Table R2) (see Note 1)
	<i>Horizontal setback distance (m)</i>	
Property boundary	1.5 – 50 (see Note 2)	A, D, J
Buildings/houses	2.0 – > 6 (see Note 3)	A, D, J
Surface water (see Note 4)	15 – 100	A, B, D, E, F, G, J
Bore, well (see Notes 5 and 6)	15 – 50	A, C, H, J
Recreational areas (Children's play areas, swimming pools and so on) (see Note 7)	3 – 15 (see Notes 8 and 9)	A, E, J
In-ground water tank	4 – 15 (see Note 10)	A, E, J
Retaining wall and Embankments, escarpments, cuttings (see Note 11)	3.0 m or 45° angle from toe of wall (whichever is greatest)	D, G, H
	<i>Vertical setback distance (m)</i>	
Groundwater (see Notes 5, 6, and 12)	0.6 – > 1.5	A, C, F, H, I, J
Hardpan or bedrock	0.5 – ≥ 1.5	A, C, J
NOTES:		
<p>1 The overall setback distance should be commensurate with the level of risk to public health and the environment. For example, the maximum setback distance should be adopted where site/system features are on the high end of the constraint scale. The setback distance should be based on an evaluation of the constraint items and corresponding sensitive features in Table R2 and how these interact to provide a pathway or barrier for wastewater movement.</p> <p>2 Subject to local regulatory rules and design by a suitably qualified and experienced person, the separation of a drip line system from an upslope boundary, for slopes greater than 5%, may be reduced to 0.5 m.</p>		

TABLE R1
GUIDELINES FOR HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SETBACK DISTANCES
 (to be used in conjunction with Table R2) (continued)

- | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | Setback distances of less than 3 m from houses are appropriate only where a drip irrigation land application system is being used with low design irrigation rates, where shallow subsurface systems are being used with equivalent low areal loading rates, where the risk of reducing the bearing capacity of the foundation or damaging the structure is low, or where an effective barrier (designed by a suitably qualified and experienced person) can be installed. This may require consent from the regulatory authority. |
| 4 | Setback distance from surface water is defined as the areal edge of the land application system to the edge of the water. Where land application areas are planned in a water supply catchment, advice on adequate buffer distances should be sought from the relevant water authority and a hydrogeologist. Surface water, in this case, refers to any fresh water or geothermal water in a river, lake, stream, or wetland that may be permanently or intermittently flowing. Surface water also includes water in the coastal marine area and water in man-made drains, channels, and dams unless these are to specifically divert surface water away from the land application area. Surface water excludes any water in a pipe or tank. |
| 5 | Highly permeable stony soils and gravel aquifers potentially allow microorganisms to be readily transported up to hundreds of metres down the gradient of an on-site system (see R3, Table 1 in Pang et al. 2005). Maximum setback distances are recommended where site constraints are identified at the high scale for items A, C, and H. For reading and guidance on setback distances in highly permeable soils and coarse-grained aquifers see R3. As microbial removal is not linear with distance, data extrapolation of experiments should not be relied upon unless the data has been verified in the field. Advice on adequate buffer distances should be sought from the relevant water authority and a hydrogeologist. |
| 6 | Setback distances from water supply bores should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Distances can depend on many factors including soil type, rainfall, depth and casing of bore, direction of groundwater flow, type of microorganisms, existing quality of receiving waters, and resource value of waters. |
| 7 | Where effluent is applied to the surface by covered drip or spray irrigation, the maximum value is recommended. |
| 8 | In the case of subsurface application of primary treated effluent by LPED irrigation, the upper value is recommended. |
| 9 | In the case of surface spray, the setback distances are based on a spray plume with a diameter not exceeding 2 m or a plume height not exceeding 0.5 m above finished surface level. The potential for aerosols being carried by the wind also needs to be taken into account. |
| 10 | It is recommended that land application of primary treated effluent be down gradient of in-ground water tanks. |
| 11 | When determining minimum distances from retaining walls, embankments, or cut slopes, the type of land application system, soil types, and soil layering should also be taken into account to avoid wastewater collecting in the subsoil drains or seepage through cuts and embankments. Where these situations occur setback clearances may need to be increased. In areas where slope stability is of concern, advice from a suitably qualified and experienced person may be required. |
| 12 | Groundwater setback distance (depth) assumes unsaturated flow and is defined as the vertical distance from the base of the land application systems to the highest seasonal water table level. To minimise potential for adverse impacts on groundwater quality, minimum setback distances should ensure unsaturated, aerobic conditions in the soil. These minimum depths will vary depending on the scale of site constraints identified in Table R2. Where groundwater setback is insufficient, the ground level can be raised by importing suitable topsoil and improving effluent treatment. The regulatory authority should make the final decision in this instance. (See also the guidance on soil depth and groundwater clearance in Tables K1 and K2.) |

TABLE R2
SITE CONSTRAINT SCALE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SETBACK DISTANCES
 (used as a guide in determining appropriate setback distances from ranges given in Table R1)


Item	Site/system feature	Constraint scale (see Note 1)		Sensitive features
		LOWER	HIGHER	
		←—————→ Examples of constraint factors (see Note 2)		
A	Microbial quality of effluent (see Note 3)	Effluent quality consistently producing ≤ 10 cfu/100 mL <i>E. coli</i> (secondary treated effluent with disinfection)	Effluent quality consistently producing $\geq 10^6$ cfu/100 mL <i>E. coli</i> (for example, primary treated effluent)	Groundwater and surface pollution hazard, public health hazard
B	Surface water (see Note 4)	Category 1 to 3 soils (see Note 5) no surface water down gradient within > 100 m, low rainfall area	Category 4 to 6 soils, permanent surface water <50 m down gradient, high rainfall area, high resource/environmental value (see Note 6)	Surface water pollution hazard for low permeable soils, low lying or poorly draining areas
C	Groundwater	Category 5 and 6 soils, low resource/environmental value	Category 1 and 2 soils, gravel aquifers, high resource/environmental value	Groundwater pollution hazard
D	Slope	0 – 6% (surface effluent application) 0 – 10% (subsurface effluent application)	> 10% (surface effluent application), > 30% subsurface effluent application	Off-site export of effluent, erosion
E	Position of land application area in landscape (see Note 6).	Downgradient of surface water, property boundary, recreational area	Upgradient of surface water, property boundary, recreational area	Surface water pollution hazard, off-site export of effluent
F	Drainage	Category 1 and 2 soils, gently sloping area	Category 6 soils, sites with visible seepage, moisture tolerant vegetation, low lying area	Groundwater pollution hazard
G	Flood potential	Above 1 in 20 year flood contour	Below 1 in 20 year flood contour	Off-site export of effluent, system failure, mechanical faults
H	Geology and soils	Category 3 and 4 soils, low porous regolith, deep, uniform soils	Category 1 and 6 soils, fractured rock, gravel aquifers, highly porous regolith	Groundwater pollution hazard for porous regolith and permeable soils
I	Landform	Hill crests, convex side slopes, and plains	Drainage plains and incise channels	Groundwater pollution hazard, resurfacing hazard
J	Application method	Drip irrigation or subsurface application of effluent	Surface/above ground application of effluent	Off-site export of effluent, surface water pollution

NOTES:

- Scale shows the level of constraint to siting an on-site system due to the constraints identified by SSE evaluator or regulatory authority. See Figures R1 and R2 for examples of on-site system design boundaries and possible site constraints.
- Examples of typical siting constraint factors that may be identified either by SSE evaluator or regulatory authority. Site constraints are not limited to this table. Other site constraints may be identified and taken into consideration when determining setback distances.

TABLE R2
SITE CONSTRAINT SCALE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SETBACK DISTANCES
 (used as a guide in determining appropriate setback distances from ranges given
 in Table R1) (continued)

- 3 The level of microbial removal for any on-site treatment system needs to be determined and it should be assumed that unless disinfection is reliably used then the microbial concentrations will be similar to primary treatment. Low risk microbial quality value is based on the values given in ARC (2004), ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000), and EPA Victoria (*Guidelines for environmental management: Use of reclaimed water* 2003).
- 4 Surface water, in this case, refers to any fresh water or geothermal water in a river, lake, stream, or wetland that may be permanently or intermittently flowing. Surface water also includes water in the coastal marine area and water in man-made drains, channels, and dams unless these are to specifically divert surface water away from the land application area. Surface water excludes any water in a pipe or tank.
- 5 The soil categories 1 to 6 are described in Table 5.1. Surface water or groundwater that has high resource value may include potable (human or animal) water supplies, bores, wells, and water used for recreational purposes. Surface water or groundwater of high environmental value include undisturbed or slightly disturbed aquatic ecosystems as described in ANZECC and ARMCANZ (2000).
- 6 The regulatory authority may reduce or increase setback distances at their discretion based on the distances of the land application up or downgradient of sensitive receptors.



(Adapted from USEPA 2002)

FIGURE R1 EXAMPLE OF DESIGN AND COMPLIANCE BOUNDARIES FOR APPLICATION OF SETBACK DISTANCES FOR A SOIL ABSORPTION SYSTEM

barnson.

APPENDIX D

Recommended Species List

APPENDIX 7

VEGETATION SUITABLE FOR LAND APPLICATION AREAS

Botanical Name	Approximate Height	Common Name or Variety
Grasses		
<i>Carex</i> spp. <i>Lomandra longifolia</i> <i>Microlaena stipoides</i> <i>Oplismenus imbecillis</i> <i>Pennisetum alopecuroides</i> <i>Poa lab</i> <i>Stipa</i> spp.	40 - 80 cm	Available as lawn turf
Ground cover/ climbers		
<i>Hibbertia scandens</i> <i>Hibbertia stellaris</i> <i>Isotoma fluviatilis</i> <i>Kennedia rubicunda</i> <i>Scaevola albida</i> <i>Scaevola ramosissima</i> <i>Veronica plebeia</i> <i>Viola hederacea</i>	Prostrate Climber	Snake vine Dusky coral pea Native violet
Sedges/ grasses/ small plants		
<i>Anigozanthus flavidus</i> <i>Baumea acuta</i> <i>Baumea articulata</i> <i>Baumea juncea</i> <i>Baumea nuda</i> <i>Baumea rubiginosa</i> <i>Baumea teretifolia</i> <i>Blandfordia grandiflora</i> <i>Blandfordia nobilis</i> <i>Brachyscome diversifolia</i> <i>Carex appressa</i> <i>Cotula coronopifolia</i> <i>Crinum pedunculatum</i> <i>Cyperus polystachyos</i> <i>Dianella caerulea</i> <i>Epacris microphylla</i> Ferns <i>Gahnia</i> spp. <i>Juncus</i> spp. <i>Lobelia trigonocaulis</i> <i>Lomandra</i> spp. <i>Patersonia fragilis</i> <i>Patersonia glabrata</i> <i>Patersonia occidentalis</i> <i>Ranunculus graniticola</i> <i>Restio australis</i> <i>Restio tetraphyllus</i> <i>Sowerbaea juncea</i> <i>Tetraloche juncea</i> <i>Xyris operculata</i>	2m Sedge Sedge Sedge Sedge Sedge 30-90cm 30-90cm Clump Sedge 10-20cm <2m Sedge Low plant 50cm -1m Tall Grass 0.5 m Rush 5-10cm Grass 5cm Reed 1m Sedge <30cm <1m	Kangaroo Paw Christmas Bell Christmas Bell Native Daisy Waterbutton Swamp Lily Blue Flax Lily Native Iris Native Iris Native Iris Rush Lily Tall Yellow Eye

Botanical Name	Approximate Height	Common Name or Variety
Shrubs		
<i>Agonis flexuosa nana</i>		
<i>Baekea linifolia</i>	1 - 2.5 m	
<i>Baekea utilis</i>	1-2.5 m	
<i>Baekea virgata</i>	< 4 m	
<i>Banksia aemula</i>	1 - 7 m	
<i>Banksia robur</i>	0.5 - 2 m	
<i>Bauera ruboides</i>	0.5 - 1.5 m	
<i>Callistemon</i>	2 - 3 m	Burgundy
<i>Callistemon</i>	2 - 4 m	Eureka
<i>Callistemon</i>	3 - 4 m	Harkness
<i>Callistemon</i>	3 - 4.5 m	Kings Park Special
<i>Callistemon</i>	2 - 3 m	Mauve Mist
<i>Callistemon</i>	1 - 2.5 m	Red Clusters
<i>Callistemon</i>	2 - 3 m	Reeves Pink
<i>Callistemon citrinus</i>	50 - 80 cm	Austraflora Firebrand
<i>Callistemon citrinus</i>	2 - 4 m	Splendens
<i>Callistemon citrinus</i>	60cm - 1m	White Ice
<i>Callistemon linearis</i>	1 - 3 m	
<i>Callistemon macropunctatus</i>	2 - 4 m	
<i>Callistemon pachyphyllus</i>	2 - 3 m	
<i>Callistemon pallidus</i>	1.5 - 4 m	
<i>Callistemon paludosus</i>	3 - 7 m	
<i>Callistemon pinifolius</i>	1 - 3 m	
<i>Callistemon rigidus</i>	1.5 - 2.5 m	
<i>Callistemon salignus</i>	3 - 10m	
<i>Callistemon shiresii</i>	4 - 8 m	
<i>Callistemon sieberi</i>	1.5 - 2 m	
<i>Callistemon sieberi</i>	50 - 80 cm	Austraflora Little Cobber
<i>Callistemon subulatus</i>	1 - 2 m	
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	1 - 2 m	Captain Cook
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	5 - 10 m	Dawson River
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	3 - 5 m	Hannah Ray
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	50 cm - 1 m	Little John
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	1.5 - 2 m	Rose Opal
<i>Callistemon viminalis</i>	2 - 3 m	Western Glory
<i>Goodenia ovata</i>	1 - 1.5 m	
<i>Hibiscus diversifolius</i>	1 - 2 m	Swamp hibiscus
<i>Kunzea capitata</i>	1 - 2 m	
<i>Leptospermum flavescens</i>	< 2 m	Tea-tree
<i>Leptospermum juniperinum</i>	1 m	Tea-tree
<i>Leptospermum lanigerum</i>	1 - 2 m	Woolly tea-tree
<i>Leptospermum squarrosum</i>	< 2 m	Tea-tree
<i>Melaleuca alternifolia</i>	4 - 7 m	
<i>Melaleuca decussata</i>	1 - 2 m	Cross-leaved honey myrtle
<i>Melaleuca lanceolata</i>	4 - 6 m	
<i>Melaleuca squamea</i>	1 - 2 m	
<i>Melaleuca thymifolia</i>		

Botanical Name	Approx Height	Common Name or Variety
Trees		
<i>Acacia elongata</i>	> 2 m	
<i>Acacia floribunda</i>	2 - 4 m	Gossamer wattle
<i>Agonis flexuosa</i>	5 - 6 m	Willow myrtle
<i>Allocasuarina diminuta</i>	1.5 m	
<i>Allocasuarina paludosa</i>	0.5 - 2 m	
<i>Angophora floribunda</i>	Large tree	
<i>Angophora subvelutina</i>	Large tree	
<i>Callicoma serratifolia</i>	< 4m	
<i>Casuarina cunninghamiana</i>	10 - 30 m	River she-oak
<i>Casuarina glauca</i>	6 - 12 m	Swamp oak
<i>Baeocarpus reticulatis</i>	Large tree	Blueberry ash
<i>Eucalyptus amplifolia</i>	Large tree	
<i>Eucalyptus botryoides (coastal areas)</i>	10 - 30 m	
<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis (west of ranges)</i>	15 - 20 m	River red gum
<i>Eucalyptus deanei</i>	Large tree	Blue Mountains blue gum
<i>Eucalyptus elata</i>	Large tree	River Peppermint
<i>Eucalyptus grandis</i>	10 - 20 m	Flooded gum
<i>Eucalyptus longifolia</i>	20 m	Woollybutt
<i>Eucalyptus pilularis</i>	30 - 40 m	Blackbutt
<i>Eucalyptus punctata</i>	< 35 m	Greygum
<i>Eucalyptus robusta</i>	20 - 30 m	Swamp mahogany
<i>Eucalyptus saligna (coastal)</i>	30 - 50 m	Sydney blue gum
<i>Eucalyptus tereticornis</i>	30 - 40 m	Forest red gum
<i>Eucalyptus viminalis (ranges)</i>	20 - 40 m	Ribbon gum
<i>Acmena smithii</i>	10 - 20 m	Lilli pilli
<i>Findersia australis</i>	< 40 m	Native teak
<i>Hymenosporum flavuum</i>	3 - 6 m	Native frangipani
<i>Melaleuca armillaris</i>	3 - 4 m	Bracelet honey myrtle
<i>Melaleuca decora</i>	4 - 7 m	
<i>Melaleuca ericifolia</i>	6 m	
<i>Melaleuca halmaturorum</i>	4 - 6 m	
<i>Melaleuca hypericifolia</i>	2 - 3 m	
<i>Melaleuca linariifolia</i>	4 - 8 m	Snow in summer
<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i>	5 - 7 m	Broad paperbark
<i>Melaleuca squarrosa</i>	6 m	
<i>Melaleuca stypheloides</i>	6 - 15 m	
<i>Melia azedarach</i>	15 - 20 m	
<i>Pittosporum spp.</i>		
<i>Syzygium paniculatum</i>	8 - 10 m	Bush cherry
<i>Tristania laurina</i>	5 - 15 m	Kanuka
<i>Viminaria juncea</i>	2 - 3 m	Golden spray

Source: Australian Plants Society

barnson.

APPENDIX E

**Concept Design Sketches –
Irrigation Systems**




FIGURE M1 DRIP IRRIGATION SYSTEM – EXAMPLE LAYOUT OF COMPONENTS






FIGURE M2 SPRAY IRRIGATION SYSTEM - EXAMPLE LAYOUT OF COMPONENTS



NOTES:

- 1 Example system sized for 700 L/d and DIR of 3.5 mm/d in soil Category 3 (see Table M1).
- 2 Preferred dosing method is by a 6-way automatic sequencing valve.
- 3 Good quality topsoil to 250 mm depth is required.
- 4 Flexible 100 mm diameter corrugated drainage line can be used in place of rigid PVC.
- 5 Distribution aggregate of 10 mm to 15 mm size can be used in place of pea gravel.

FIGURE M3 SHALLOW SUBSURFACE LPED IRRIGATION – EXAMPLE SYSTEM



Notes

- 1 The depth of effluent pumped within each cycle of the float switch (ie the depth between pump Cut-off and Operation) is calculated by:


$$\text{depth of pumped effluent (m)} \times \text{basal tank area (m}^2\text{)} \times 1,000$$

$$= \text{discharge volume (litres per pump cycle)}$$


This volume must match the hydraulic capabilities of the receiving component based on flow rate and total dynamic head.
- 2 Submersible pump used as an example only. The pump will need to be selected based on the specific task. It may be a centrifugal pump or vortex pump depending on the type of effluent being pumped and the hydraulic characteristics of the system. It may sit on top of the tank and draw effluent from the tank.
- 3 Submersible pumps must not be removed from a tank by their power cord. Heavier pumps may require the installation of a solid steel bar configuration according to manufacturer's specifications.
- 4 Cumulative storage must be assessed carefully to ensure that the pump well is large enough to buffer peak loads without the level exceeding that at which the high level alarm is triggered. The pump well should be sized to ensure that the volume of storage in the pump well reaches the low-level cut-off depth at least once every week.

Standard Drawing 12B - Demand Dose Pump well

(not to scale)



Standard Drawing 12C - Surface Irrigation of Effluent
(not to scale)



Subsurface Irrigation Area Construction

- A** Design for relatively uniform slope. Additional design work may be required where slope exceeds 12% or if system is to be installed over undulating ground.
- B** An earth bank diversion drain must be constructed upslope of the area to divert stormwater run-on if this is appreciable (see Inset B and Standard Drawing No. 13A).
- C** Secondary treatment system – the irrigation pump must provide a *minimum* 20 m head and a flow rate that matches the design output of the selected dripline. Flow rate will vary depending on emitter spacing, flow rate and lineal metres of line. A full hydraulic design must be carried out. Each area should be capable of discharging a minimum of 80 L/min.
- D** Filtration and flushing mechanism (see Inset A) – a field flush valve must be installed on the return line to facilitate periodic flushing to the treatment tank. An additional filter flush valve should be installed downstream of the field flush valve. A 100-150 micron cylindrical filter should be installed and cleaned regularly. Where there are potential problems in returning irrigation field flush back to the treatment tank, a small (approximately 3 m x 0.6 m) absorption area sited below the effluent irrigation area can be used to accommodate the flushed effluent.
- E** An automatic, hydraulically operated sequencing valve should be installed to deliver effluent evenly to the two areas.
- F** Air release valves must be installed at high points in each area. Additional air release valves may be required in undulating terrain.
- G** Check valves are required for each irrigation field to facilitate periodic flushing.
- H** Distribution manifolds should be 25 mm uPVC or polyethylene pipe buried 300 mm below the ground surface.
- I** Flushing return manifold should be 25 mm uPVC or polyethylene pipe buried 100-150 mm below the ground surface within the irrigation area. Outside this area, the pipe must be buried at a minimum of 300 mm depth.
- J** Pressure compensating (PC) subsurface drip line laterals (typically 16 mm) with emitters and laterals at approximately 600 mm spacings (maximum 1,000 mm spacings) and buried to a depth 100-150 mm. Only subsurface dripline specifically designed for effluent irrigation must be used.

Standard Drawing 13B - Subsurface Effluent Irrigation
(not to scale)

barnson.

APPENDIX F

List of Plates




Plate 1 – Overview of proposed site



Plate 2 – Overview of proposed site